

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,538		10/28/2003	Alan E. Kasten	DP-310213	6433
22851	7590	11/02/2005		EXAM	INER
DELPHI T	ECHNOI	OGIES, INC.	OMGBA, ESSAMA		
M/C 480-410	0-202				
PO BOX 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI	48007		3726		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{E}					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/695,538	KASTEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Essama Omgba	3726					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a i ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<u>August 2005</u> .						
,	is action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
·	Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 19-22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he dath or declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	nts have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri							
application from the International Bure		Todowod III IIIo Mallona. Glago					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 14 and 15 recite the limitation "the viewing source" in lines 5 and 6 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (US Patent 6,141,863).

With regards to claims 11, 13 and 18, Hara et al. discloses a method of disposing a fit-in workpiece 7 in a receiving workpiece 8, the method comprising projecting a laser line onto a side of the workpieces, verifying an alignment of the workpieces from a viewing source and fitting the fit-in workpiece into the receiving workpiece, see column 4, lines 29-65, column 5, lines 1-5 and 42-50. Although Hara et al. does not disclose the

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

projected laser line intersecting at least one joint of adjoined members or being perpendicular to a joint of the two substrates, however projecting the laser line to intersect a joint of adjoined members or to be perpendicular to a joint of the substrates is an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in having the projected laser line intersect the joint or be perpendicular to the joint of the substrates versus the method taught by Hara et al. as long as a proper alignment of the substrates is obtained. Applicant should note that the substrate of the fit-in workpiece could be considered two substrates as it includes two different portions 71 and 72.

For claim 12, see column 5, lines 58-63.

For claim 17, Applicant should note that the alignment system of Hara et al. could be used with workpieces with non cylindrical geometry, see column 11, lines 61-67 and column 12, lines 1-28.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-10and 19-22 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

In response to Applicant's argument that Hara et al. does not disclose projecting a laser line onto a side of at least two adjoined surfaces, the examiner submits that the laser line of Hara et al. is indeed projected on a surface of the workpiece (col. 4, lines 36-40) and the position/orientation of the workpiece is obtained in advance of the fitting action (col. 3, lines 7-17). The workpiece of Hara could not properly be fitted in the receiving workpiece unless they are properly aligned. As outlined in the above rejections, the exact location of where the laser line is projected is an obvious matter of design choice as long as proper fitting is attained.

In view of the above remarks, the examiner maintains that a prima facie case of obviousness has been established in the instant application as it relates to claims 11-13, 17 and 18.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/695,538

Art Unit: 3726

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 9. applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

October 30, 2005